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#### Abstract

Four further species formerly assigned to Plutellus s. Michaelsen are transferred to Simsia, viz. Cryptodrilus minor, Megascolides attenuatus and M. incertus, all of Spencer, 1892, and M. steeli Spencer, 1900. M. steeli and M. attenuatus are relegated to junior synonymy in Simsiu manni and $S$. minor respectively. A new species, $S$. multituberculata, brings the generic total for Simsia to nine valid species. A new subspecies, $S$. intermedia papillata is described and two species formerly assigned to Simsia, S. eucalypti (Spencer, 1900) and S. manni (Spencer, 1892) are revised. The genus is redefined and a key to species given. Intersetal ratios, which are known to yield groupings which correlate well with supra-generic taxa based on general anatomy, are shown to be of limited value for specific identification of individual specimens of Simsia. The poor specific segregation of ratios reffects the exceptional morphological homogeneity of this genus.


## Introduction

The genus Simsia was erected by Jamieson (1972) for reception of seven species of which six had been assigned by Michaelsen (1900) to Plutellus and one was new. One of these, Megascolides roseus Spencer, 1892, was shown to be a junior synonym of the type species, Simsia tuberculata (Fletcher, 1888). Further revision of Plutellus reveals that four additional species of Plutellus s. Michaelsen must be transferred to Simsia, namely Cryptodrilus minor, Megascolides attenuatus and M. incertus, all of Spencer, 1892, and M. steeli Spencer, 1900. M. steeli is included here as a junior synonym of Simsia manni and M. attenuatus as a junior synonym of Simsia minor. A new species, Simsia multituberculata is erected for material wrongly identified by Spencer as Megascolides incertus. The generic total for Simsia is thus raised to nine valid species.

Material of the species added here to Simsia will be described below, together with that of species formerly referred to the genus which have not previously been revised. A new subspecies will also be defined. The value of intersetal ratios for estimation of intra- and interspecific affinities within Simsia will be examined taxonometrically.

## Systematics

The material described in this paper requires a slight modification of the diagnosis of Simsia given by Jamieson (1971). Thus genus nevertheless remains very homogeneous both morphologically and geographically.

## Genus Simsia Jamieson, 1972

Nephridia stomate, avesiculate holonephridia throughout or preceded in a few anterior segments by tufted nephridia which (always?) have composite ducts. Nephropores of holonephridia in a single series on each side (in setal lines $c$ or $c d$ ) though pores of tufted nephridia may lie in $d$ lines. Unpaired, annular, or paired sessile, (calciferous?) dilatations of the oesophagus in (XIV), XV-XVI (XVII). Dorsal intestinal typhlosole present though sometimes rudimentary. Spermathecae 2 pairs, each with a small, sessile, internally multiloculate diverticulum.

## Key to the species of Simsia

1. One or more midventral circular or oval papilla-like genital markings present, each restricted to one segment or adjacent parts of two segments. Paired markings present or absent
-Midventral unpaired genital markings absent


Fig. 1-Male genital field of Simsia eucalupti (Spencer, 1900). A, paralectotype of Megascolides ehealypti Spencer 1900, Nat. Mus. Vict. G1440. B, new record from Longwarry. Both by camera lucida. Clitellum shaded.
or forming a single indefinite glandular area extending over more than two segments. Paired markings rarely present
2. Cireular midventral genital markings present in front of and behind the male pores. None present on XVIII though a marking may oeeur at $18 / 19$. Paired markings absent or ineonspicuous
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-Midventral genital marking present on XVIII and on a variable number or no other segments. Several pairs of well developed paired markings present
3. Two cireular midventral genital markings present, one posteriorly in XVII, the other at $18 / 19$. Paired markings absent
S. narrensis (Spencer, 1892)
-Cireular midventral genital markings present in XVI, XVII, XIX, XX and XXI. Lateral markings poorly developed in XVI and XVII
S. longwarrichsis Jamicson, 1971b
4. A single midventral genital marking present, forming a large raised oval area on XVIII. Paired markings present at the anterior margin of XVII and XX and a vari-
able number of, or no, adjaeent segments Simsia tuberculata (Fletcher, 1888) -Genital markings three longitudinal rows of oval tubereles in the four intersegments, 17/ 18-20/21
S. multitubercnlata sp. nov.
5. Paired genital markings, or a transverse depression with glandular margins, in eaeh of intersegments $17 / 18$ and $18 / 19$. Spermatheeal pores median to $a$ lines 6
-Paired genital markings absent, or poorly developed at $17 / 18$ and anterior XIX. Spermatheeal pores in $a$ lines or between $a$ and $b$ lines
6. Nephridia in the elitellar region diseharging in $c$ lines
S. cucalypti (Spencer, 1892)
-Nephridia in the elitellar region diseharging between setal lines $c$ and $d$
7. A smooth midventral area, with transverse furrows suppressed, 1yen, with transverse annulus of XVII, which fotween the setal margin of the elitellum, and the male pores.

Spcrmathecal diverticulum multiloeulate and discrete but almost hidden in the duct wall
S. lucasi (Spencer, 1892) -A glandular midventral field present in the vicinity of the male pores and adjaeent segments but differing from that of lucasi. Spermathecal divertieulum protuberant from the duct
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8. Tufted nephridia present in anterior segments, from II; followed by simple holonephridia
-Tufted nephridia absent. All nephridia simple holonephridia.
S. minor (Spencer, 1892)
9. Paired genital markings at $17 / 18$ and anterior XIX
S. intermedia papillata subsp. nov. -Paired genital markings absent
S. intermedia intermedia (Spencer, 1892)

Simsia eucalypti (Speneer, 1900)
Figs. 1A-B, 5A, 6A-B
Megascolides eucalypti Spencer, 1900: 35-36, Pl. 5, figs. 13-15.
Simsia eucalypti, Jamieson 1972.
$1=82 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=88$. Form eircular in cross section, clubbed at the posterior end. Prostomium? (damaged, tanylobous, Speneer). First dorsal pore indeterminable (most anterior 4/5, first one visible usually further back, often at $12 / 13$, Speneer). Setae in eight regular longitudinal rows throughout, eommeneing on II, $a$ and $b$ absent in XVIII. Intersetal distanees (Table 1). Nephropores fairly eonspieuous on the clitellum, in a single series on each side in $c$ lines near the anterior borders of their segments, only sporadieally recognizable elsewhere. Clitellum annular, XIII-1/3XVIII, intersegmental furrows retained but only ventrally fully developed, setae and nephropores clearly visible, dorsal pores reeognizable but oeeluded. Combined male and prostatic pores a pair in $a b$ equatorially in XVIII, caeh on a small papilla. Genital field ill-defined; an approximately oblong glandular area present in XVII, extending laterally beyond $b$ lines, posteriorly to abut on the male porophores, and anteriorly to inelude setae $a$ and $b$ of XVII; a similar area extending from the male porophores as far as, but not including, the setal annulus of XIX; each tumid
area with a deep transverse linear depression corresponding with intersegment $17 / 18$ or 18/ 19. No other accessory genital markings present (see Remarks). Female pores a pair of small orifiees anteromedian of setae $a$ of XIV at about $1 / 3$ aa. Spermatheeal pores two pairs of faint, slitlike depressions shortly median to $a$ lines and near the anterior borders of VIII and IX.

Septa 10/11 and 11/12 the thickest (strongly thiekened). Septal glands invest the pharynx but do not extend appreciably behind it. Gizzard in V large and strongly museular, preceded by a muscular but easily compressible gizzardlike proventrieulus in IV. Sessile vaseular swellings of the oesophagus very pronouneed in XV and XVI, smaller in XIV, that in caeh segment grooved dorsally by the dorsal blood vessel whieh reeeives a conspieuous cireumferential vessel on each side from the dilatation. Intestine eommencing, with abrupt expansion, in XIX; a fairly low but distinctly developed rounded dorsal typhlosole present, beginning abruptly in anterior XXV. Dorsal blood vessel single, eontinuous onto the pharynx. Dorsoventral commissural vessels in VII-XII (vessels on the gizzard joining the dorsal vessel do not have recognizable eonneetions with the ventral vessel); those in VIII and IX although valvular give off a lateral vessel to the parietes before joining the ventral vessel, and are very slender or slender respeetively; those in X-XII form large latero-oesophageal hearts, eaeh arising from the dorsal vessel and from the supraoesophageal, and are otherwise unbranched. Supra-oesophageal vessel in X-XIII, bifureating in the last segment. Subneural vessel absent. Lateroparietal vessels run from XII to the prostates.

Nephridia simple exonephric holonephridia in (II?) III and IV; those in V and VI forming two pairs of very large tufts with apparently composite duets which enter the body wall in $c$ lines; sueeeeding nephridia small, exonephric, holonephridia; all nephridia avesieulate and, with the exeeption of the tufted nephridia, stomate. Testes and funnels not detectable; seminal vesieles a single, raeemose pair, on the anterior septum of XII. Ovaries not recognizable; small masses on the posterior septum of XIII presumably are ovidueal funnels. Prostates large, tu-


Fig. 2-Male genital fiedds. A, Simsia lucasi (Spencer, 1892), lectotype of Cryptodrilus lucas? Spencer, 1892. Aust. Mus. W1282. B-C, Simsia mami (Speneer, 1892). B, lectotype of Megascolides mamui Spencer, 1892, Nat. Mus. Vict. G158. C, lectotype of junior synonym, Megascolides steeli Spencer, 1900, Gi68. Ail by camera lucida. Clitellum
shaded.
bular, mueh coiled laterally in XVIII to which they are restricted. Vasa deferentia not visible. Penial setae not recognizable possibly owing to previous dissection ats these setae are reported by Spencer. Spermatheeac diseharging anteri-
orly in VIII and IX, each with a large ovoidsaccular ampulla, a shorter but nevertheless unusually long and slender duct, and a multiloculate diverticulum attached dorsolaterally near the ental end of the duets; size uniform,
length (right spermatheca of IX) $=4.9 \mathrm{~mm}$; ratio of total length of spermatheea: length duet $=2 \cdot 3$; ratio total length: length divertieulum $=6 \cdot 3$.

Material examined: an imperfeetly elitellate previously dissected paraleetotype, Nat. Mus. Vict. G1440 from Noojee, S. Warragul (Jensz and Smith 1969). A fully elitellate speeimen from Longwarry S. via Drouin, Vietoria, coll. J. T. Ryan, 14 July 1904, Nat. Mus. Viet. G1553.

Remarks: Spencer (1900) gives the type localities as 'Neerim and South Warragul'. His illustration shows two pairs of eye-like genital markings, both in $a b$, one pair at intersegment 18/19
the other at $19 / 20$. This constitutes a notable differenee from the paralectotype as does the reported presence of penial setae. Agreement of the anatomy of the paraleetotype with Speneer's deseription is otherwise very elose, even extending to restriction of the seminal vesieles to XII. It is possible that Spencer had two speeies before him and heneeforth the eharaeteristies deseribed in the present aceount should be regarded as typieal of the species.

The previously unidentified specimen from Longwarry, sympatrie with Simsia longwarriensis Jamieson, 1972, is here considered to be indubitably eonspeeific with $S$. eucalypti as exemplified by the paralectotype described. The presenee of eye-like aceessory genital markings


Fig. 3-Male genital fields. A, Sinsia intermedia papillata subsp. nov. Nat. Mus. Vict. holotype G52. B-C, Simsia minor (Spencer, 1892). B, lectotype of junior synonym Megascolides attenuatus Spencer, 1892, Nat. Mus. Vict. G176. C, probable syntype of Cryptodrilus minor Spencer, 1892, Aust. Mus. W1283. By camera lucida. Clitcllunı shaded.
in intersegmental tranverse depressions aceords both with the new description and that of Spencer, although in the latter account both pairs of markings were stated to be posterior to the male pores. The depressions were so deeply recessed in the paralectotype that eye-like markings if present would have been indeteetable.

The Longwarry specimen agrees in all respects with the deseription of the paralectotype with the following reservations or additions:
$1=93 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{w}$ (midclitellar) $=6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=$ 93 (posterior amputee?) Prostomium apparently tanylobous. First visible dorsal pore 11/12 but pores poorly visible throughout the body. Intersetal distances (Table 1). Clitellum XIII-2/3 XVIII, interrupted ventrally from 1/3XVII posteriorly. Genital field with a pair of eye-like accessory genital markings in each interscgmental depression, those in $17 / 18$ immediately lateral to $b$ lines, those in $18 / 19$ in $b$ lines. Spermathecal pores a little further median at $1 / 4$ aa from $a$. Typhlosole well developed, although not high, a broad ridge commencing at anterior XXIII and at first low. A pair of large latero-ocsophageal vessels visible in VI anteriorly. Ovaries, large fan-shaped laminae with many chains of large oocytcs, and funnels in XIII; small ovisacs in XIV. Vas deferens joining the ental end of the prostate duct. Penial setae absent. Spermathecae as in the paralectotype; length of right spermatheca of IX $=4.4$ mm ; ratio of total length: length duct $=2 \cdot 3$; ratio of total length: length diverticulum $=5 \cdot 6$.

## Simsia intermedia (Spencer, 1892)

Simsia intermedia papillata subsp. nov.
Figs. 3A, 5F, 6F
Cryptodrilus willsiensis, Jensz and Smith 1969, p. 93 (non) Cryptodrilus willstensis Spencer, 1892, p. 140.

1?, s? (fragmented), w (midclitellar) $=5$ mm . Circular in cross scetion, canalicula absent. Prostomium prolobous (?, damaged), peristomium with numerous longitudinal grooves. First dorsal pore (?). Setac in cight longitudinal rows; $b, c$ and $d$ lines very irregular in the detached posterior ends. Intersetal distances (Table 1). Nephropores moderately conspicuous whitish points in $c$ lines near the anterior borders of their scgments, obscrved from VII
posteriorly. Clitellum annular, posterior $1 / 3$ XIII-XVII, but interrupted ventrally in $a a$ in XVII by the male genital field; intersegments, setae and nephropores visible; dorsal pores not determinable owing to previous dissection. A pair of combined male and prostatic pores on XVIII in $a b$, on moderately large papillae. The midventral surface from the anterior margin of XVII to the posterior margin of XIX forming an indistinct glandular area bearing the male porophores laterally. A pair of small elliptical genital markings present at $17 / 18$, and a further pair anteriorly in XIX, in $b$ lines. Female pores ineonspicuous, anteromedian of setae $a$ of XIV. Spermathecal pores two pairs, in $7 / 8$ and $8 / 9$, in a lines; paired suggestions of elliptical genital markings accompanying them, posteriorly in VIII and anteriorly in IX.

Septa: $9 / 10$ and $10 / 11$ the thickest (very strong). Last evident septal glands in IV. Brain at the junction of II and III, mainly in the latter scgment. Dorsal blood vessel single; commissural hearts of IX slender and dorsoventral only; those of X-XII large, latero-oesophageal hearts; supra-oesophageal vessel indistinet, not certainly continuous intersegmentally, in IX-1/2 XIII. Subneural vessel absent. Gizzard large in V; oesophagus intact only from IX posteriorly; in IX-XV with encircling vascular striae but no extra-mural dilatations or calciferous glands apparent, possibly owing to damage; intestine?

Nephridia: a pair of large tufts in II, exoncphric, cach with a composite duct discharging in $c$ line; smaller but similar nephridia in III and IV; ducts passing anteriorly and slightly laterally to enter the body wall; possibly no simple holoncphridia until VII; these stomate and avesiculate. Small testes and iridescent sperm funnels in XI only; seminal vesicles racemose, restricted to XII in both specimens. Ovaries, consisting of many chains of large ooyctes in XIII; no ovisacs seen. Prostates tubular but with the walls very thick relative to the almost unrecognizable central lumen and superficially minutely lobulated; long, with several, tightly adpressed bends; the short muscular duct almost as wide as the glandular portion. Between and partly obseuring the prostate ducts is a very conspieu-


Fig. 4-Male genital fields. A, paralectotype of Simsia minor (Spencer, 1892), Nat. Mus. Viet. G1435. B, Simsia multiluberculata sp. nov., holotype, G175. All by camera lucida. Clitellum shaded.
ous hemispheroidal raccmosc genital marking gland, filling scgments XVII, XVIII and XIX. Spermathecae two uniform pairs, discharging anteriorly in VIII and IX, each with a bent, elongate saccular ampulla, and sessile, subspheroidal, multiloculate diverticulum at the junetion of the ampulla and the very short, narrow duct. Length of right spermathcca of $1 \mathrm{X}=$ 4.5 mm ; ratio of total length: length duct $=$ 12.5 ; ratio of total length: length diverticulum $=7 \cdot 4$.
Material examined: Five fragments, of which two are heavily dissected elitellate portions (one
of these lacking the anterior segments) stated by Jensz and Smith (1969) to be syntypcs of Cryptodrilus willsiensis Spencer, 1892, Nat. Mus. Vict. G52. Although from the type loccality (undated), the specimens whe unaccompanied by a Spencer label and do not accord with the type description of $C$. willsiensis which is a plutelloid distinct from the genus Simsia. The completc anterior portion is here designated the holotype and the anterior amputec the paratype.

This entity is imperfcetly described because of the poor condition of the two known speci-
mens. Description was warranted by its misidentification as Cryptodrilus willsiensis. It has close similarities with both Simsia minor and Simsia intermedia, both of Spencer, 1892 but the presence of anterior tufted nephridia supports identification with $S$. intermedia. The presence of paired accessory genital markings is here considered to merit separate subspecific status but the paucity of our knowledge of variation in the population of $S$. intermedia from the type locality, and of the anatomy and variability of the Mt. Wills morph leave the status of the latter material uncertain.

Simsia lucasi (Spencer, 1892) n. comb. Figs. 2A, 5E, 6C
Cryptodrilus lucasi Spencer, 1892, p. 143, PI. 16, figs. 28-30, PI. 19. fig. 72.
Megascolides lucasi; Beddard 1895, p. 489.
Plufellus litasi; Michaelsen 1900, p. 168; Jamieson 1972. p. 88.
$1=102, \mathrm{w}$ (midclitellar) $=5.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=$ 150. Form moderately stout, slightly clubbed posteriorly, circular in cross section throughout; segments distinctly triannulate after the first two, excepting the clitellum. Only the clitellum pigmented (brown) in alcohol. Pigment spots present laterally and dorsally in some anterior and posterior segments. Prostomium epilobous $1 / 3$, dorsal tongue wedge shaped, closed by a transverse furrow; peristomium with many longitudinal grooves. First dorsal pore $4 / 5$. Setae in cight longitudinal rows, becoming irregular posteriorly; $a$ and $b$ absent in in XVIII. Intestal distances (Tablc I). Nephropores anterior in their segments, in $d$ lines in each of 1I-VI, thereafter in $c$ lines throughout. Clitcllum annular XIII2/3 XVIII; dorsal pores suppressed, intersegmental furrows and nephropores present although faint. Combined male and prostatic pores equatorial in $a$ lines of XVIII on a pair of small inconspicuous papillac. The setal annulus of XVII forming an arch-shaped thickening bounding a smooth unsegmented depressed area which extends to the male porophores; the entire ventral surface from $1 / 2$ XVII-XIX appearing glandular. Female pores shortly anteromedian of setae $a$ of XIV. Spermathecal pores visible as two pairs of low papillae in
$7 / 8$ and $8 / 9$, slightly embaying the preceding segment, in $a b$ shortly lateral of $a$ line.

Septa $5 / 6-9 / 10$ very strongly, $10 / 11$ and and $11 / 12$ strongly thickened; $12 / 13$ and 13/14 slightly thickened; the remainder thin. Last septal (pharyngeal) glands in IV. Dorsal blood vessel single, continuous onto the pharynx; dorsoventral commissural vessels in V-XII; all valvular, increasing in thickness posteriorly; those in VIII-IX moderately thick and heart-like, dorsoventral only; those in X-XII forming three pairs of large latero-oesophageal hearts, each receiving a connective from the dorsal vessel and the supra-oesophageal vessel. Supra-oesophageal vessel in IX-1/2 XIII; single anteriorly; posteriorly double segmentally, single intersegmentally. Subneural vessel absent. Gizzard very large and firmly muscular in $V$, stoutly fusiform and enveloped anteriorly by a rimlike posterior fold of the crop-like oesophagus. Oesophagus almost suppressed in VI and VII by backward extension of the gizzard; moderately moniliform, vascularized and internally rugose in IX-XIII; similar but narrow in XIV; in each of XV and XVI bearing a conspicuous outpouching on each side which, although sessile, has a restricted opening into the oesophagus; the internal wall of each pouch forming numerous high, fairly thin lamellar folds which touch but do not unite across its lumen; each pouch sending a conspicuous circumferential vessel to the dorsal vessel. Oesophagus in XVII narrow and thin walled. Intestine commencing in XVIII; a high, wide, rounded dorsal typhlosole occupying about one third of the intestinal lumen commencing in XXV.

Nephridia in II-VI much coiled to the extent of forming conspicuous tufts, though with only a few convoluted loops, in II; ducts (apparently simple) opening anterior to scta $d$ in the same segment as the nephridium. In VII posteriorly the nephridia discharge in $c$ lines; all are avesiculate. Small prescptal funnels were demonstrated in XII posteriorly but necks connecting nephridia with the preceding scptum were demonstrated forward into the region of tufted nephridia; all nephridia are probably, thercfore, stomatc. (Testes?), sperm masses and weakly iridescent sperm funnels in $X$ and XI; seminal vesicles racemese, in IX and XII.

Large diffuse stalked ovaries with many rows of large oocytes and small funnels in XIII; large racemose ovisacs pendant from the posterior face of septum $13 / 14$ into XIV, not directly associated with the oviducal
funnels. Prostates thickly tubular, with several closely adpressed coils and a short muscular duct, restricted to XVIII. Penial sctae absent. Spermathecae uniform; two pairs opening anteriorly in VIII and IX each with an elongate


Fig. 5-Prostate glands. A, Simsia eucalypti (Spencer, 1900), paralectotype of Megascolides eucalypti Spencer, 1900, Nat. Mus. Vict. G1440. B-C, Simsia manni (Spencer, 1892). B, lectotype of Megascolides manni Spencer, 1900, G158. C, lectotype of junior synonym, Megascolides steeli Spencer, 1900, G168. D, Simsia minor (Spencer, 1892), lectotype of junior synonym, Megascolides attenuatus Spencer, 1892. E, Simsia lucasi (Spencer, 1892), lectotype of Cryptodrilus lucasi Spencer, 1892, Aust. Mus. W1282. F, Simsia intermedia papillata subsp. nov. Nat. Mus. Vict. holotype, G52. G-I, Simsia multituberculata sp. nov., holotype, G175. G, prostate gland. H-I, a penial seta. All by camera lucida.
saclike ampulla and externally wide, poorly demarcated duct which bears at mid length an iridescent multiloculate, rosette like diverticulum which is embedded in the external wall of the duct though separable from it by dissection. In a cleared mount the duct is seen to consist of an ental wide half and a narrow tortuous tube forming the cctal half, which is concealed in a glandular (?) and vascular investment which latter constitutes the externally observable duct. Length left spermatheca of VIII $=$ 3.6 mm ; ratio of total length of spermatheca: length duct $=2.4$; ratio of total length: length diverticulum $=7 \cdot 3$.
Material examined: Three clitellate specimens labelled 'Crypto lucasi. Tallarook, Goulbourn R. Jan 92, A.M.S.L.', Aust. Mus. Sydney, W1282. This label indicates that the specimens are part of the type-scries. Only one specimen, here designated the lcctotype, was used for the above account but the other two specimens were observed to be closely similar, in external and internal anatomy, including intersetal ratios, and are herc designated paralectotypes.

A single fragmented heavily dissected specimen with no locality data, Nat. Mus. Vict. G84, according in general anatomy with the lectotype but yielding no data as to extent of supraoesophageal vessel, details of excretory system, form of alimentary canal behind XIV and morphology of the female gonads and ducts. Remarks: Demonstration for the first time of calciferous dilations in XV and XVI and an intestinal typhlosole; and confirmation of the presence of multiloculatc rosette-like spermathecal diverticula on two pairs of spermathecae, indicate that Megascolides lucasi must be transferred to Simsia. Its assignment to the latter genus is supported by general morphology, including the setal ratios.

Sinsia manni (Spencer, 1892)
Figs. 2B-C, 4B-C, 6D-E

? mm, $6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=280,327$ (manni and steell respectively). Form elongate or moderatcly stout, circular or trapezoidal in cross section respectively; secondary annulation well developed. Prostomium canaliculate and with a transverse groove at about $1 / 4$ peristomium. First dorsal pore indeterminable. Setae in eight regular longitudinal rows throughout; $a$ and $b$ absent in XVIII. Intersetal distances (Table 1). Nephropores anterior in their segments, observable in $d$ lines in III-VIII (manni) or III-VII (steeli) and seen in mid cd in VIII-XIV and in XX posteriorly in steeli but recognizable at this site only on the clitellum in manni. Clitellum annular, strongly developed and prominent, embracing XIII-XVIII (= six segments) ; intersegments represented only by transverse lines, setae and nephropores visible; dorsal pores obscured (manni); not developed in the lectotype of steeli. Combined male and prostatic pores a pair in XVIII on small papillae in a lines. Accessory genital markings two pairs of elliptical low mounds, usually with pore-like centres visible, in $17 / 18$ and $18 / 19$ in $a b$ (both taxa); the markings and male pores lying on an approximately rectangular tumid area at maturity (manni). Female pores shortly anteromedian to setae $a$; closer together in manni than in steeli. Spermathecal pores inconspicuous, two pairs, in $7 / 8$ and $8 / 9$, median of $a$ lines (manni ), not visible in the lectotype of steeli but stated by Spencer to be in $a$ lines.

Septa: $10 / 11$ and $11 / 12$ the thickest (very strong). Dorsal vessel single; commissurals of IX forming slender hearts which are dorsoventral only; those of X-XII forming three pairs of large latero-oesophageal hearts; latero-oesophageal hearts, like those of IX each sending a branch basally to the parietes (both taxa); supra-ocsophageal observable in X-XII in steeli but not distinguishable in the lectotype of manni. Gizzard large and firmly muscular, apparently in VI; dorsal typhlosole represented only by a slight internal ridge (both taxa); alimentarly canal otherwise too severcly macerated for description in stecli. In manni oesophagus conspicuously dilated (calciferous?) in XV-XVI and grooved middorsally; still wide in XVII; very narrow in XVIII; intestine commencing at $18 / 19$.


Fig. 6-Spermathecae. ( $\mathrm{R}=$ Right, $\mathrm{L}=$ Left, roman numerals indicate segment). A-B, Simsia eucalypti (Spencer, 1900). A, new record from Longwarry (R. IX). B, paralectotype of Megascolides eucalypti Spencer, 1900, Nat. Mus. Vict. G1440 (R. IX). C. Simsia lucasi (Spencer, 1892), lectotype of Cryptodrilus lucasi Spencer, 1892, Aust. Mus. W1282 (L. VII). D-E, Simsia manni (Spencer, 1892). D, lectotype of Megascolides manni Spencer, 1892, Nat. Mus. Vict. G158 (R. VIII). E, lectotype of junior synonym, Megascolides steeli Spencer, 1900, G168 (L. IX). F, Simsia intermedia papillata subsp. nov. (R. IX), Fig. 5F. G-J, Simsia minor (Spencer, 1892). G-I, lectotype of junior synonym, Megascolides attenuatus, duct and ventral and dorsal views respectively of a spermatheca (R. VIII). J, paralectotype of Cryptodrilus minor (Spencer, 1892), G1435 (R. IX). K-N, Simsia multituberculata sp. nov. holotype, G175 (R, L. VIII; R, L. IX, respectively).

Nephridia avesiculate a pair of small exonephric holonephridia in IV; large paired stomate tufts, in each of segments V-VII, cach tuft with approximately 100 loops and discharging through the parietes by a double terminal duct; nephridia in this region apparently discharging a segment or more anterior to their main bodies. Nephridia in VIII posteriorly, simple, exonephric stomate, avesiculate holonephridia discharging to the exterior in the segment containing the post-septal body (manni, data of Horan, pers. comm.; steeli reported to be similar but additional simple nephridia demonstrated in II and II1). Large much divided laminar testes and large iridescent sperm funnels free in X and XI, racemose seminal vesicles in XI and XII (manmi), iridescence confined to the funnels of XI in steeli and anterior testes not recognizable. Laminar ovarics with many chains of oocytes and funnels in XIII (both taxa), ovisacs in XIV in manni but not in steeli. Prostates long, much coiled, depressed tubes with sinuous muscular ducts which receive the vasa cleferentia entally. Penial setae absent. Spermathecae two pairs, discharging anteriorly in VIII and IX, one or more reflexed into the proceding segment; ampulla elongate ovoid, more or less sacculated; duct shorter, bearing a small multiloculate diverticulum near its ental end (both taxa). Dimensions of right anterior and left posterior spermatheca of manni and steeli respectively: $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$, 2.8 mm ; ratio of total length of spermatheca: length duct $=2 \cdot 8,3 \cdot 5$; ratio of total length: length diverticulum $=7 \cdot 0$ (both taxa).

## Material examined:

The previously dissected, clitellate lectotype of Megascolicles mami, S. Warragul, Victoria, coll. W. Mann July 1891, Nat. Mus. Vict. G158.

The aclitellate, macerated Icetotype of Mesascolides steeli, Warragul, coll. T. Steel April 1892, Nat. Mus. Vict. G168.
Remarks: M. steeli is here synonymized with $M$. mamil on the grounds of general similarity, including the unusual disposition of the nephropores, in conjunction with close agreement of intersetal ratios. M. manni var. variabilis Spencer. 1892, requires foo separate status, its supposedly distinctive male genital field being
characteristic of the lectotypes of both M. manni and M. steeli.

Simsia minor (Spencer, 1892) n. comb.
Figs. 3B-C, 5D, 6G-J
Cryptodrilus minor Spencer, 1892, p. 144, P1. 16, figs. 3I-33, Pl. 19, fig. 73.
Megascolides attenuatus Spencer, 1892, p. 155, PI. 19, figs. 61-62, 82.
Megascolides minor, Beddard 1895, pp. 489-490.
Megascolides attenuatus, Beddard 1895, p. 491; SWeet 1900, p. 112.
Plutellus attenuatus, Michaelsen 1900, p. 169.
Plutellus minor, Michaelsen 1900, p. 170 .
External morphology: (Specimens previously referred to C. minor, only): 1 ?, 83 mm ; w (midclitellar) 3 mm (both specimens); $s=$ ?, 121 (G1435 and W1283 respectively). Form circular in cross section throughout; weakly triannulate. Pigmentless buff in alcohol. Prostomium epilobous $1 / 3$, a deep middorsal furrow running from the prosotomium to $1 / 2$ segment II. First dorsal pore $5 / 6$. Setae in eight longitudinal rows, regular except on the posterior 14 segments where all rows become irregular (W1283); ab absent in XVIII (both specimens).

Nephropores sporadically visible, at the anterior borders of their segments in $c$ lines (both specimens). Clitellum annular slightly protuberant, embracing XIV-XVII, with some clitellar modification of XIII in W1283; dorsal pores occluded but faintly visiblc; setae and nephropores retained; intersegmental furrows faint or obscured.

Male genital field: male pores a pair of minute apertures at the sites of the absent sctae $a b$ of XVIII, in W1283 on transversely clliptical papillae extending somewhat beyond $a$ and $b$ lines medianly and laterally. An approximately circular midventral area, extending from the setal zone of XVII to that of XX and laterally attaining a maximum width, in XVIII or XIX of slightly less than or slightly more than $b b$; this glandular ficld interrupted centrally from approximately $18 / 19$ to the anterior limit of the setal imnulus of XIX in such a way that a tumid, glandular are is evident behind the male pores. Female pores anteromedian of setae $a$ of XIV, on minutc papillae. Spermathecal pores two pairs of minute ellipses in $a b$ of $7 / 8$ and

8/9; each in G1435 preceded by postsetal tumescence of the preceding segment.

Some anterior septa thickened; $9 / 10$ the thickest, being quite strong. Dorsal blood vessel single, continuous onto the pharynx. Hearts well developed in X-XII. Supra-oesophageal vessel visible in XII but supra-oesophageal connectives to hearts not verifiable owing to poor condition of material. Subneural vessel absent. Gizzard large and cylindrical, in V ; oesophagus vascular and moniliform in XII-XVII, and narrow in XVIII, in G1435; in W1283 large annular dilatations in $1 / 2$ XIV, XV, XVI and XVII, have the appearance of sessile unpaired calciferous glands. Intestine beginning in XIX, with a large constricted oesophageal value; dorsal typhlosole present, a rounded tortuous ridge beginning at $19 / 20$; muscular thickening absent (both specimens).

Nephridia: all simple exonephric, avesiculate holonephridia; funnels not observed in nephridia of the forebody but connections with the anterior septum of each segment clearly visible. Terminal ducts of nephridia of segments II-V all enter the parietes anteriorly in II; composite ducts and pronounced coiling or tufting of nephridia not developed. In the posterior 13 or so segments, nephridia are thicker and slightly more coiled and the single funnels are easily detectable (W1283, Horan pers. comm.). Metandric; iridescent convoluted male funnels seen only in XI and small, racemose seminal vesicles only in XII. Ovaries consisting of united long chains of fairly large oocytes; ovisacs absent. Prostates coiled, tubular with distinct muscular duct (W1283) ; similar in G1435 but precise form indeterminable owing to previous damage. Penial setae absent. Spermathecae discharging anteriorly in their segments, each with a broadly digitiform sometimes reficxed ampulla and a much shorter, slender duct; a multiloculate iridescent dorsoventrally depressed diverticulum lying dorsally over and joining the junction of duct and ampulla; approximately uniform in size, length (G1435, right spermatheca of IX) $=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; ratio total length: length duct $=5 \cdot 8$; ratio total length: length diverticulum $=9 \cdot 1$.
Material examined: A single, much dissected paralectotype, Ellinbank, S. Warragul, coll. W.

Mann Aug. 1891, Nat. Mus. Vict. G1435. A previously undissected clitellate specimen, used for the above account, and a dissected aclitellate specimen used only for setal ratios, Aust. Mus. W1283; this material is labelled Cryptodrilus minor, apparently in Spencer's handwriting, and is probably part of the type-series.

Rernarks: It is here considered that Megascolides attenuatus Spencer, 1892, is a junior synonym of Cryptodrilus minor Spencer, 1892. The following description of the lectotype of $M$. attenuatus allows comparison of the two entities.

## Megascolides attenuatus Spencer, 1892

Figs. 3B, 5D, 6G-I
$1=122 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{w}$ (midclitellar) $=1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, $\mathrm{s}=166$. Circular in cross section throughout. Pigmentless buff in alcohol, clitcllum dark brown (worms grey in life, Spencer). Prostomium prolobous. First dorsal porc very conspicuous, $4 / 5$. Setae small, in eight longitudinal rows, commencing in II, which become irregular posteriorly (in last 15 scgments) ; $a b$ absent in XVIII. Intersetal distances (Table 1).

Nephropores in $c$ lines but only sporadically visible. Clitellum annular, well devcloped but not protuberant, embracing XIII-XVIII dorsally but interrupted ventrally in $1 / 2$ XVIIXVIII; dorsal pores present in a middorsal furrow extending from XII-XIX; setae retained but less distinct; intersegmental furrows weak. Male pores equatorial in $a b$, nearer $a$ of XVIII on a transverse band; the midventral region from the male pores almost to the setal annulus of XX glandular and tumid, the glandular field extending maximally, at the equator of XIX, to shortly beyond setae $b$. Female pores a pair of small orifices at about $1 / 3 a a$, midway between the setal arc and the anterior border of XIV, in a common glandular field. Spermathecal pores two pairs, minutc, on minute almost inappreciable papillae near the posterior limits of VII and VIII, in $a b$ nearer $a$.

Septal thickening: $4 / 5$ and 5/6 very delicate; 6/7-11/12 progressively thickened but $11 / 12$ still only moderately thick, $12 / 13$ and $13 / 14$ moderately thickened but less than $11 / 12$; the remainder slightly thickened to unthickened. Last septal (pharyngeal) glands anterior in IV.

Dorsal vessel single, continuous onto the pharynx. Dorsoventral commissural vessels in V-XII; those in V-IX progressivcly wider posteriorly, those of IX still, however, only about half as wide as those of X-XII which form three pairs of latero-ocsophageal hearts each of which is attached directly to the dorsal vessel and receives a connective from the supra-oesophageal vessel. The latter vessel well devcloped, and frce from the oesophagus, in X-XII, exceedingly thin in IX; not represented in other segments. Subneural vessel absent.

Gizzard large, almost cylindrical and firmly muscular, in $V$; oesophagus narrow and thin walled, not forming a proventriculus, in IV; its surface in VII, VIII and IX drawn out into innumerable long, fine (glandular?) proeesses which form in each segment a tuft obscuring the gut. Ocsophagus narrow and chloragogen-ous-looking in X-XVIII, especially so in XIII posteriorly; lacking appreciable dilatation but with two pairs of conspicuous vessels to the dorsal vessel in XV and XVI (damaged in XVII) which are presumed to represent homologues of the (calciferous?) dilatations of other Simsia species. Intestine commencing with abrupt expansion in XX, the gut in XIX with deeply folded internal walls and externally distinguished by the opacity of its walls from the thin walled intestine and pinched off at each border of the segment; a deep tortuous narrow lamelliform dorsal typhlosole commencing in XXI; muscular thickening of the intestine absent.

Nephridia large, thickly tubular avesiculate (stomate?) holonephridia, the postseptal bodies commencing in II; the slender ducts entering the parietes in front of setae c. Testes, free sperm masses and large robust iridcscent sperm funnels frce in $X$ and XI; seminal vesieles two pairs, in IX and XII, racemose, the posterior the larger. Prostates a pair of stout tubes, bent twiee, in XVIII and XIX, each with a short, narrow museular duct. The duct embedded in glandular masses which extend on the ventral body wall from posterior XVII-posterior XIX and correspond with the external genital field. (The two vasa deferentia of each side remain separate, running up within the muscular wall of the spermiducal duct, and entering the duct
as it leaves the gland, Sweet 1900). Penial setae not recognizable (see Remarks). Ovaries very large firm laminae, each consisting of many rows of large oocytes, radiating laterally from basal strands attached near the ventral nerve cord; they and the funnels in XIII; ovisacs absent. Spermathecae two pairs, discharging anteriorly, the ampulla an elongate bent sac the narrow ectal end of which joins a narrow much shorter duct; 2 multiloculate diverticula, with spermatozoal iridescence, present one on each side at the junction of duct and ampulla; the duct embedded in a glandular mass. Size uniform, length of right spermatheca of VIII $=1.9$ mm ; ratio total length: length duct $=3 \cdot 9$; ratio total length: length diverticulum $=8 \cdot 3$.
Material examined: Lectotype, a previously undissected clitellate specimen, from Warragul, Nat. Mus. Vict. G176.
Remarks: Sweet (1900) noted on cach side just behind the opening of the prostate duct a slightly muscular sac enclosing a long curved penial seta. Neither follicles nor penial seta are visible in the lectotype despite exhaustive examination. The possibility that the genital marking glands have obscured these cannot, however, be rejected.

## Simsia multituberculata n.sp.

Figs. 4B, 5G, I, 6K-N

$$
1=49, ?, 80 \mathrm{~mm}, w(\text { midclitellar })=4-5
$$ $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{s}=126, ?, 137$ (holotype and two paratypes, respectively). Form moderately stout; circular in cross section throughout; anterior segments widest. Colour in alcohol pale brown (but unpigmented?); clitellum pigmented. Prostomium tanylobous, with faint median dorsal groove (three specimens). Setae in eight regular longitudinal rows throughout, commencing on II; $a b$ absent in XVIII.

Nephroporcs visible (prcclitellar to about segment V , clitellar and occasionally postclitellar) on the anterior borders of their segments, in $c$ lines. Clitcllum annular, moderately protuberant, embracing XIV-XVIII (three specimens) with some clitellar modifications of XIII in the holotype; intcrrupted ventrally posteriorly from the setal arc of XVII by the male genital field. Male porcs approximately cquatorial on XVIII, in $a b$, on oval porophores
whieh extend slightly bcyond $a$ and $b$ lines, medianly and laterally. Aeeessory genital markings three longitudinal rows of oval tubereles in the four intersegments $17 / 18,18 / 19,19 / 20$ and $20 / 21$, each intersegment thus with a pair of tubereles (in $a b$ or, in 19/20 in b) and a median tuberele; an additional unpaired median tuberele present in approximately the same transverse line as the male pores in XVIII; the median and right marking of intcrsegment 20/21 not developed in paratype 2. Each tuberele has a pore-like eentral area which is transversely duplieated in that of XVIII in the holotype. Female pores small slits anteromedian of setac $a$ of XIV. Spermatheeal pores two pairs on small but eonspicuous papillae in $a$ lines of $7 / 8$ and $8 / 9$.

Internal anatomy (holotype). Septal thickening: $3 / 4-7 / 8$ thin, $5 / 6$ being so attenuated by the gizzard as to be barely pereeptible; 8/9 moderate; $9 / 10-13 / 14$ fairly strong; the remainder thin. Last pharyngeal glands in IV. Dorsal blood vessel single; continuous onto the pharynx. Dorsoventral commissural vessels in IV-XII; those in IV to IX slender, though increasing in thiekness posteriorly; those in X to XII forming large latero-oesophageal hearts, eaeh conneeted with the dorsal vessel and reeeiving a connective from a supra-ocsophageal vessel whieh is visible in VIII-XII. Subneural vessel absent. Oesophagus unusually long and tortuous in front of the gizzard. Gizzard large, globose, and strongly muscular, in V ; oesophagus greatly expanded in XV and XVI to form two unpaired annular (ealciferous?) dilatations; moniliform and vaseularized, though narrow, in IX-XIV; narrow in XVII. Intestine beginning, with abrupt expansion, anteriorly in XVIII; a thickly laminar dorsal typhlosole commencing in XXIV. Nephridia small tufts in II and III; avesieulate holonephridia in sueceeding segments whieh are slightly more coiled in the anterior few segments; the tufts in II diseharging by a wide duct anteriorly, at furrow $0 / 1$ in or above $d$; exit of those of 111 not seen; the remaining nephridia with duets entering the parietes in $c$ lines. Testes, large lobed irideseent sperm funnels and free sperm masses in X and XI; seminal vesieles in IX and XII, those in IX small and only slightly racemosc, those in XII
larger, elongate and lobulated. Prostates restrieted to an enlarged segment XVIII; very much eoiled tubes, the folds adpressed, irregular in eross scetion and cntally fused; vasa deferentia joining the gland shortly ental of its junction with the curved, relatively short, museular duct. Penial setae gently bowed, the eetal end with a few seattered anteriorly dirceted minute spines some of which near the tip form a single serrated annulus; the tip of the seta drawn out into a very slender S-shaped proeess. Length of a well developed seta, 0.51 mm , width of the shaft generally $7 \mu$, slightly wider entally. Ovaries large folded laminae with many strings of large ooeytes in XIII; ovisacs large laminac with several chains of oocytes on the antcrior septum of XIV. Spermathecae two pairs; eaeh with an ovoid or bulbous ampulla, a narrow short duct, and two latcral multiloculate divcrticula whieh are not complctely separate one from the other. Size of the spermathecae approximately uniform; length (four spermathecae of holotype $)=2 \cdot 6-3 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}$; ratio of total length: length duct $=2 \cdot 7-3 \cdot 2$; ratio total length: length diverticulum $=7 \cdot 4-8 \cdot 5$.
Material examined: the holotype, a complete specimen which had previously been disseeted; and two paratypes of which one (paratype 1) is a previously dissected posterior amputee and the other an undissected eomplete specimen; all specimens clitellate; labelled in Spencer's hand 'Megascolides incertus Black Spur, Oct. '95', Nat. Mus. Viet. G175.

## Simsia tuberculata (Fletcher, 1888)

Notoscolex tuberculalus Flctcher, 1888, p. 611-614. Megescolides luberculatus, Beddard 1895, p. 494; Spencer 1892, p. 156.
Plutellus tuherculatus, Michaelsen 1900, p. 168; Jamieson 1971a, p. 88.
Megascolides roseus Spencer, 1892, pp. 153-154, P1. 18, figs. 58-60; Pl. 19, fig. 81; Beddard 1895, p. 491 ; Sweet 1900 , p. 112.
Plutellus roseus, Michaelsen, 1900. pp. 167-168; Jamieson 1971 a, p. 88.
Simsia tuherculata. Jamieson 1972, This volume.
Megascolides incertus Spencer, 1892, pp. 151-152, Pl, 18, fig. 52-54; PI. 19, fig. 80.
Megascolides incertus, Beddard 1895. p. 490.
Plucellus incerlus, Michaelsen 1900, p. 167; Jamieson 1971. p. 87.

Prostomium tanylobous. First visible dorsal pore $18 / 19$. Setae small and difficult to diseern;


Fig. 7-Dendrogram of intertaxon (inter-ratio) distances in the genus Simsia.
in eight regular longitudinal rows (throughout?), commencing in II; $a$ and $b$ absent in XVIII. Intersetal distances (Table 1). Nephropores not visible. Clitellum annular, embracing 1/2 XIII-2/3 XVIII; setae and intersegmental furrows retained but less distinct; dorsal pores not recognizable. Combined male and prostatic pores a pair on XVIII in $a$. Paired eye-like accessory genital markings presetally in $a b$ in XV, XVI, XVII, XX, XXI and XXII in all of which they impinge on the anterior intersegment; a single midventral marking in XVIII. Female pores small slits anteromedian of setae $a$ of XIV. Spermathecal pores not visible. General internal anatomy as for $S$. tuberculata (Jamieson 1971b).
Material examined: A clitellate posterior amputcc with the registration 'Megascolides incertus, now Plutellus incertus (Sp.), Victoria, presented by J. J. Fletcher (Nov. 1924), identified by Prof. B. Spencer', Aust. Mus. Sydney, W1276; here designated the lectotypc of the junior synonym Megascolides incertus Spencer.

Remarks: The above brief account, coupled with Spencer's description, is sufficient to leave no doubt that Megascolides incertus is a junior synonym of Simsia tuberculata. Location of additional paired accessory genital markings in the specimens of incertus in XV and XVI is a not unexpected extension of the genital field of S. tuberculata.

## Intersetal Ratios

Table 1 lists intersetal distances for species of Simsia described here and in Jamieson (1971b). These are subjected to numerical analysis below.

## Methods

The four setae on each side of a segment are designated, in the ventral to dorsal direction, $a$, $b, c$, and $d$. The distances $a a: a b: b c: c d: d d$ : $d c: c b: b a$ are measured by means of a camera lucida (alternatively a graticule or scale in the occular may be used). The specimen is held down by a glass slide or coverglass, avoiding
Table 1
Intersetal distances in the genus Simsia

|  |  |  |  |
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| 和 | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{\pi}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}$ | かmがo゙o ヘ்ள்வ்்்்் |  <br>  |
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|  | E |  <br>  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |  |

undue compression. When each intersetal distance is to be measured the glass is depressed sufficiently to flatten the body surface between the two adjacent setae. A measurement of the arc rather than the chord between the two setae is thus obtained. Very large intersetal distances, for instance $d d$, which may exceed one half of the circumference, are measured in two or more sections. Intersetal distances are expressed in millimetres and, to allow comparison between specimens, are also expressed relative to a constant total (periphery) of 100 . Direct comparison of ratios between individuals without recourse to additional computations is also facilitated by stating the distances as ratios relative to one of the distances ( $a b$ ) expressed as unity and by giving the ratio of the dorsal median intersetal distance $(d d)$ to the circumference of the segment (it). In the computer study, paired intersetal distances $(a b, b c, c d)$ were each averaged and $d d$ was omitted as it was assumed that for $n$ intervals the degrees of freedom were $n-1$. Four attributes ( $a a, a b, b c$, and $c d$ ) were therefore used in the computations.

Inter-taxon (i.e. inter-ratio) distances were calculated after standardization of attributes (setal ratios) according to the Euclidean model of Burr (1968); the subsequent fusion strategy was the same author's 'incremental sum of squares' (Burr 1970), which minimizes the increase in within-group sum of squares at each fusion.
Results. The dendrogram representing relative distances of intersetal ratios is given in Fig. 7. Conclusions. The morphological homogeneity of the genus Simsia is reflected in the intersetal ratios of the constituent species. Thus overlap of ratios between unquestionably distinct morphospecics is frequent and suggests that ratios of individual specimens would be of limited value for specific identification in this genus. Whether intersetal ratios of the constituent species form parametcrs diagnostic of each species requires investigation of larger samples than are at prescnt available. From the dendrogram some differentiation of intersctal ratios can neverthelcss be scen to have accompanied speciation as only two of the eight species studied occur on both branches of the major dichotomy (final fusion at greatest 'intertaxon'
distance) and, usually, individuals or representatives of synonyms of a single species fuse at small intertaxon distances. One of the taxa, $S$. intermedia, which appears to cross the major dichotomy does not indisputably do so as only its subspecies S. i. papillata occurs on one of the branches and the affinity of this subspecies with S. intermedia, as adjudged from general anatomy, cannot be considered settled. It shows close general resemblance to $S$. minor, with which it clusters in the dendogram. Identification with $S$. intermedia was determined by the mutual possession of tufted nephridia which are not known in $S$. minor. Specific distinction from both $S$. minor and $S$. intermedia cannot be ruled out on present evidence.
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## Abbreviations used in figures

d.p. $=$ dorsal pore,,$q$ p. $=$ female pore, g.m. $=$ accessory genital marking, gl. a. = glandular area, gl. m . = glandular (?) mass, 1.g.m. = lateral accessory genital marking, of $\mathbf{p}$. = male pore, m.g.m. = median accessory genital marking, np. $=$ nephropore, pr.d. $=$ prostatic duct, pr.g. = glandular portion of prostate, sem. ch. $=$ seminal chamber, sp. amp. $=$ spermathecal ampulla, sp.d. = spermathecal duct, sp. div. $=$ spermathecal diverticulum, sp.p. = spermathecal pore, v.d. = vas deferens.

